IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.8167 OF 2005

Parvati Shankar Panchange ...Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Vikas Shivarkar for the Applicant.
Mrs.S.D.Shinde, A.P.P for the Respondent.

CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 22, 2005.

P.C.:

. Applicant is a woman accused involved in an offence which is registered with Indapur Police Station vide C.R.No.52 of 2005 for offences punishable under Section 302, 363, 365, 342, 323, 504, 506 read with 34 I.P.C.

2. One Tibiki Shinde and Bhamabai Pawar who are also allegedly involved in the offences and played identical role as that of the present applicant have been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 20th October, 2005 passed in Criminal Application No.6169 of 2005 and 1st December, 2005 in Criminal Application No.7634 of 2005.

3. Prosecution case as well as the role of the said accused and present applicant has been set out extensively in the said order. It is not disputed that the applicant also played identical role as that

of Tibiki & Bhamabai. For the reasons set out in the said order, present applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail. Accordingly, following order :

i) The applicant shall be enlarged on bail in connection with C.R.No.52 of 2005 registered with Indapur Police Station district Pune in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount;

ii) Bail is granted subject to the condition that the applicant will not enter the area falling within the jurisdiction of Indapur Police Station, Taluka Indapur, Dist.Pune till the conclusion of trial.

iii) The order granting bail will be given effect only after the applicant furnishes to the Investigating Officer a detailed address of place of residence where she proposes to stay after she is enlarged on bail and only affter the said place of address is verified by the I.O. to be correct.

iv) The applicant shall attend the concerned local police station after she is

enlarged on bail once in a fortnight till the conclusion of trial.

v) Applicant shall not directly, or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with prosecution evidence.

vi) Applicant shall cooperate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

vii) Any observation/s made in this order shall not be constructed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

viii) Application disposed of in above terms.

ix) The parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.)